EXHIBIT 1

FEB-08-2005 14:23

NKMS

603 647 1800

P 02

02/01/2005 14:16 From: ELM Insurance Brokers, ELM Insurance Brokers To: 1-866-255- Page 5/23
Jan 31 05 05:15p DIVERSIFIED RISK 510 547 5648 p.4

81/28/2805 14:17

5882786757

CSMGDLF INC

PAGE 83

STATE OF MAINE YORK, 84. SHERIOR COURT CMICACTION Double NO

STEPHEN M HAY and NANCY HAY, both of SOUTH BERWICK, County of YORK and State of MAINE.

Plaintiffs.

THE LINKS AT OUTLOOK FARM, a/L/a OUTLOOK GOLF COURSE; TIMOTHY J. FLYNN, II, Individually, and in his capacity as Registered Agent of OUTLOOK GOLF COURSE; JOHN FLYNN, Individually, and in his capacity as Director of Operations of OUTLOOK GOLF COURSE; BRIAN M. SELVA; Architect; and the MUNICIPALITY OF SOUTH BERWICK;

Defendents

COMPLAINT (Title to Real Estate Involved)

NOW COME the Plaintiffs, by and through their attornays, Erwin, Ott, Clark, Orso & Campbell, for cause of action against the Defendants and state to the Court as follows:

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 1. Plaintiffe are residents and at all times herein mentioned were, the owners and in possession and control of certain real property consisting of land and bislidings at IPAgementions.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant **Juli**nal Fain Gal Cubi" (heralizates "Outlook") is a links style polificourse located in the towns of **South Beautick** and **South Beautick** are commercial dutility, open to the public since June 2000.
- 3. Upon Information and belief, Outlook is a Umited Liability Company chancered in the State of Mains on October 2, 1998 and whose registered agent is Timothy J. Flynn II of 25 Grant Street, South Berwick, Mains.

Page 1 of 12

Valey-Etemetta, libethad a cleo malc. Ito Meres 99880 Byran Jeny - 141 ydb .0.5 - Cleon Edglerd Com ari 02/10/05 10:26:36

CWS_011_CH2->

603 647 1000 RightFax

Page 881

02/01/2005 14:17 From: ELM Insurance Brokers, ELM Insurance Brokers To: 1-866-255- Page 6/23 Jan 31 05 05:15p DIVERSIFIED RISK 510 547 5648 p.5

01/28/2005 14:17

5882785757

CSMGOLF INC

PAGE 04

- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendent John Flynn is Director of Golf Operations for Outlook Farm Golf Club, LLC.
- 5. Upon Information and belief, Defendent Timothy J. Flynn, it is manager for Outlook Farm Golf Club, LLC.
- Upon information and belief, Brian M. Silve, ASGCA with the firm of Cornish, Silve and Mungasm, Inc., 207 North Main Street, Uxbridge, Massachusetts 01588, designed the course at Outlook.
 - 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant Municipality of South Berwick, at a hearing of

the Planning Board on August 31, 1995, approved the golf course subject to the following provisions:

1. Applicant will comply with all other relevant local, State and Federal Statutes.
[2.-6. omitted].

(A copy of the Planning Board's letter of decision is attached as Exhibit A.)

- 8. Plaintiffs have owned the property since 1986. The ranch style home sits on a single acre of manipured lawn with ornamental trees and shrubs.
- 9. Plaintiffs' property is separated from Defendant Outlook's property by a paved highway called Agamenticus Road. Agamenticus Road is maintained by the Town of South Berwick.
- 10. Plaintiffs' property is altuated parellel to a shot from the 5th lee at Outlook, on the right facing the front of the tee. The 5th hole is a par 4, located a dog leg to the left of the tee.
 - 11. Galiers hit their balls from the 5th tee directly on to Plaintiffs' property.
- 12. Since the day that Outbook opened to golfers, more than one thousand four hundred (1,400) golf balls have landed upon Plaintiffs' property, striking Plaintiffs' dwelling, lawn, driveway, vehicles, trees and shrubbery in the front, sides, and back of Plaintiffs' property.
- 13. On many occasions, gotf balls have nearly struck Plaintiffs, members of Plaintiffs' family, visitors and invitees on Plaintiffs' private property.
- 14. Plaintiffs have witnessed golf balls striking automobiles passing on Agamenticus Road. striking the pavement on Agamenticus Road, and bouncing onto Plaintiffs' property.

Fige 2 of 12

ERWIN, OTT. ELARK, ORDO & CAMPILL ATTORNEYS AT LAW
BA WOODBRIDGE ROAD - R.O. BEEL 143 - YORK, MAINS CORP.

82/10/05 10:27:24

CWS_811_CHZ->

603 647 1800 RightFax

Page 002

To: 1-866-255-02/01/2005 14:18 From: ELM Insurance Brokers, ELM Insurance Brokers Page 7/23 p.G DIVERSIFIED RISK 510 547 5648 Jan 31 05 05:15p 01/28/2005 14:17 5082786757 CSMGOLF INC 85 PAGE The goff balls have caused damage to Plaintiffs' property, including broken windows, damaged mall boxes and dented vehicles. 16. The noise of golf balls hitting the roof of Plaintiffs' home has interrupted Plaintiffs' sleep and privacy. 17. Plaintiffs live in constant fear that an errant golf ball will strike someone and cause serious bodily injury. 18. Plaintiffs cannot protect their pets from the threat of stray golf balls. . 19, The constant threat of errant golf balls prevents Plaintiffs from using and enjoying their property during any daylight hours from May to October. Countless golfers and passers-by have trespassed on the Plaintiffs' private property in order to retrieve the errant golf balls. The golfers and passers-by always enter Plaintiffs' property without permission. 21. Golfers frequently swear and curse at Plaintiffs when asked to leave Plaintiffs' property. 22. Defendant Outlook or its agents have been notified of this problem. Plaintiffs first spoke to Defendant John Flynn, on June 3, 2000, and on many occasions after that. . 23. Plaintiffs have sent a letter to Defendent Outlook and its agents requesting that the golf course address the problems created by the golf balls. (A copy of the letter is attached as Exhibit B.) Defendant has provided no solution and none of the attempts at a solution have resulted 24. in any reduction in the number of balls landing on Plaintiffs property. Plaintiffs have repeatedly asked the Town of South Berwick about the constant threat of injury from golf balls landing on and near Plaintiffs' property. Plaintiffs have complained to Defendants about the errant golf balls and have been referred to Defendants' insurance company, Peerless Insurance. After an investigation, Pearless Insurance defied payment of Plaintiffs' daim for damage, bedause no employee was involved. (A copy of the letter is attached as Exhibit C.) Plaintiff Stephen Hay spoke to Defendant, John Flynn. in July 2000, after 57 balls had 28.

Page 3 of 12

02/10/05 10:28:00

CWS_011_CHZ->

603 647 1800 RightFax

Page 003

02/01 Jan 3	/2005 14:19 91 05 05:16;	From: ELM Insurance Brokers, ELM Insurance Brokers To: 1-866-255- Page 8/23 DIVERSIFIED RISK 510 547 5648 p.7
	01/28/2005 14:	17, 5882786757 CSMGDLF INC PAGE AS
 		COMBULTING PAGE OF
	struck Plain	ntiffs' property. Defendant Flynn offered to purchase Plaintiffs' home for \$50,000.00.
4	29.	Defendant Outlook or their agents have finally represented to Plaintiffs that neither
	Outlook no	its management is responsible for the golf balls struck by golfers onto other properties.
	30.	Defendants refuse to make any changes to the position of the tee or the hole that would
	alleviate the	problem.
· .	31.	Defendants placed a large net directly in front of Plaintiffs' property, and several signs?
Ţ	glying advic	s to golfers. (See Exhibit D. attached herein)
	32.	The net and the signs have been totally ineffective in preventing the balls from landing
	on Plaintiffs	property.
	33.	The Plaintiffs have repeatedly contacted Defendant Outlook, and its agents, and the
	municipal o	icers of South Borwick
	34.	Plaintiffs were informed by the Town of South Berwick that the matter was not of
	concern to t	e municipality because the balls were landing on private property.
· 2	35.	Defendant Outlook or their agents have denied that they owe a duty to Plaintiffs and
	refuse to ad	ress Piaintifis' concerns.
	36.	This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 4 M.R.S.A. § 152.
		COUNT I - Private Nulsance
	37.	Plaintiffs repeat, allege and incorporate herein by reference TT 1 through 36 of this
-	Complaint.	
	. 38.	Defendent Outlook has an absolute duty not to cause injury to the property of another.
	39	Defendant Outlook has consented to continuing the nulsance by the maintenance of the
	golf course,	especially the configuration of the 5th tee.
	40.	Defendants have falled to exercise reasonable care to prevent the nulsance.
	41.	Defendants have refused to take any substantive measure to prevent the continuing
with the second	nulsance of	he arrant golf balls.
	42.	Defendant Outlook's occupation, use, and maintenance of the course constitute a
The state of the s		Page 4 of 12 Examples of the Campall Attornets at Law

02/01/2005 14:20 From: ELM Insurance Brokers, ELM Insurance Brokers

Page 884

Jan 31 05 05:16p

DIVERSIFIED RISK

To: 1-866-255-510 547 5648

Page 9/23 P.B

01/28/2005 14:17

5082786757

CSMGOLF INC

private nuisance because the use of Defendants' property causes injury to Plaintiffs and to Plaintiffs' property.

- Plaintiffs' have been injured in the enjoyment of their estate by the continued patting of 43. errant golf balls upon their property and the frequent trespass of golfers retrieving the stray balls.
 - Plaintiffs are required to retrieve the golf balls from their property on a daily basis. 44.
- 45. On or about June 3, 2000, Plaintiffs gave notice to Defendants of the damage caused by the nuisance, and requested its abatement, but Defendants have refused, and continue to refuse, to abate the nulsance.
- 46. Defendant Outlook or its agents have threatened to and will-unless restrained by this court -- continue to maintain the nuisance and continue the acts complained of, and each and every act. has been, and will be, without the consent, against the will, and in violation of the rights of the Ptaintiffs.
- As a proximate result of the nuisance created by Defendants, Plaintiffs have been, and will be, damaged in the sum of \$ 200.00 which is necessary to repair broken windows in the residence; \$2,400.00 to repair demaged vehicles, including dents and painting; \$9,800,00 to remove each golf batt from the property (\$7.00 per ball multiplied by 1400 balls).
- Plaintiffs have been denied the quiet enjoyment of their property by Defendants due to the constant and continuing threat of golf balls.
- As a further proximate result of the nuisance, the value of Plaintiffs' real estate has been diminished by its entire value of \$300,000,000. Unless the nulsence is abated, Plaintiffs' other property, including vehicles, will be progressively further diminished in value.
- As a further proximate result of the nulsance created by Defendants, and each of them. 50. Plaintiffs has been huit and injured in their health, strength, and activity, sustaining injury to their nervous systems and persons, all of which injuries have caused, and continue to cause, Plaintiffs great mental, physical and emotional pain and suffering.
- As a result of such injuries, Pisintiffs have suffered general damages in the amount of 51. \$500,000,00

02/10/05 10:29:03

CWS 011 CH2->

603 647 1900 RightFax

Page 805

02/01/2005 14:21 From: ELM Insurance Brokers, ELM Insurance Brokers To: 1-866-255- Page 10/23 Jan 31 05 05:17p DIVERSIFIED RISK 510 547 5648 p. 9

01/28/2005 14:17

5082786757

CSMGOLF INC

PAGE 88

- 52. Unless Defendants are restrained by order of this honorable Court, it will be necessary for Plaintiffs to commence many successive actions against Defendants to secure compensation for damages sustained, thus requiring a multiplicity of suits, and Plaintiffs will be daily threatened with constant and continuing errant golf balls.
- 53. Unless Defendants and their agents are enjoined from continuing this course of conduct, Plaintiffs will suffer ineparable injury that denies them the quiet enjoyment of their home and property.
- 54. In maintaining the nulvance, Defendants are acting with full knowledge of the consequences and damage being caused to Plaintiffs and their conduct is willful, oppressive and malicious; accordingly, Plaintiffs are entitled to punitive damages against Defendants.
- 55. Plaintiffs have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law, and injunctive relief is expressly authorized by Rule 65 of the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure.
 - 56. Plaintiffe' claims are within the jurisdictional limits of this honorable Court.

COUNT II - Trespass (Defendant Outlook)

- 57. The Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates 11 1 through 56 in Count II of this Complaint.
- 58. Under 14 M.R.S.A. 7551-B a person who intentionally enters the land of another without permission and causes damage to property is liable to the owner in a civil action if the person:
 - A...[D]oes damage to any structure on property not that person's own.
 - 59. Defendant Outlook is liable for the actions of its business invitees.
 - 60. The balls stuck by gotters onto the Plaintiffs' property constitute a trespass.
 - . 61. The acts of intrusion by the golfers retrieving their balls constitute acts of trespass.
- 62. On or about June 3, 2000, Plaintiffs gave notice to Defendants or their agents, of the damage caused by the trespass, and requested its abatement, but Defendants have refused, and continue to refuse, to abate the trespass:
- 63. Defendant Outlook has promised to—and will, unless restrained by this court—continue to maintain the trespass and continue to allow the acts complained of, and each and every sot has been, and will be, without the consent, against the will, and in violation of the rights of Plaintiffs.

02/10/05 10:29:36

CWS_011_CH2->

603 647 1800 RightFax

Page 886

02/01/2005 14:22 From: ELM Insurance Brokers, ELM Insurance Brokers To: 1-866-255- Page 11/23 Jan 31 05 05:18p DIVERSIFIED RISK 510 547 5648 p.10

4/28/2005 14:17

5882786757

CSMGOLF INC

PAGE 09

As a proximate result of the trespass created by the Defendant, Plaintiff has been, and will be, damaged in the sum of \$350,000.00 which is necessary to relocate to another home, away from the origing threat of errant golf balls.

COUNT III - Trespass (Defendant Municipality of South Barwick)

- 65. The Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates \$18.1 through 64 in Count III of this Complaint.
- 66. The Town of South Berwick is liable for the continuing trespass upon the property of the Plaintiffs because the municipality issued permits and gave approval to the entity from the beginning.
- The municipality has allowed the golf course to continue the operation of the course without consequence to the violation of 14 M.R.S.A. 7551-B.
- 68. In June 2000, Plaintiffs gave notice to Defendant Town of South Berwick of the damage caused by the trespass, and requested its abatement, but Defendant Town of South Berwick has refused, and continues to refuse, to abate the trespass.
- 69. Defendant Town of South Berwick has promised to—and will, unless restrained by this court—continue to maintain the traspess by allowing the acts complained of, and each and every act has been, and will be, without the consent, against the will, and in violation of the rights of Plaintiffs.
- 70. As a proximate result of the trespass created by the Defendant, Plaintiff has been, and will be, damaged in the sum of \$ 350,000.00 which is necessary to repair damages to Plaintiffs' property, purchase another home for Plaintiffs, including moving costs.
- 71. Unless Defendent Outlook is restrained by order of this court, it will be necessary for Plaintiff to commence many successive actions against Defendent to secure compensation for damages sustained, thus requiring a multiplicity of suits, and Plaintiffs will be daily threatened with arrant golf balls pelting their property, threatening bodily injury, and destroying Plaintiffs' quiet enjoyment.
- 72. Unless Defendant is enjoined from continuing its course of conduct, Plaintiff will suffer irreparable injury in that includes the loss of all value of his property, bodily injury, and damage to personal property.

Pege 7 of 12 Erwin, Ott. Clark, Orso & Canbell Attornets at law 15a woodberdoo e Coad, P.O. Box 151, York, Maine Offin 02/10/05, 10:30:09

CWS_011_CHZ->

603 647 1800 RightFax

Page 007

02/01/2005 14:23 From: ELM Insurance Brokers, ELM Insurance Brokers To: 1-866-255- Page 12/23 Jan 31 05 05:18p DIVERSIFIED RISK 510 547 5648 p.11

01/28/2005 14:17

Complaint.

5882786757

CSMGOLF INC

PAGE 10

- 73. In maintaining the trespass, Defendant is acting with full knowledge of the consequences and damage being caused to Plaintiff and Defendants' conduct is willful, oppressive and malicious; accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages against Defendant.
- 74. Plaintiff has no plain, speedy, or adequete remedy at law, and injunctive relief is expressly authorized by Rule 65 of the Meine Rules of Civil Procedure.
 - 75. Plaintiffs' claim is within the jurisdictional limits of this court.

COUNT IV - Invasion of Privacy

- 76: Plaintiffs repeat, allege and incorporate herein by reference \$11 1 through 75 of this
- The aforementioned occupation, use, and maintenance of the property of the defendant constitutes an invasion of privacy as defined by section 652B of the Restatement of Torts Second as "one who intentionally intrudes, physically or otherwise, upon the solitude or seclusion of another or his private affairs or concerns, is subject to flability to the other for invasion of his privacy, if the intrusion would be highly offensive to a reasonable person.
- 78. Defendants have wrongfully invaded Plaintiffs' right to privacy by intruding on Plaintiffs' seclusion and solitude by pelting Plaintiffs and their property with errant golf balls.
- 79. The intrusion of errant golf balls and golfers is an intrusion that is highly offensive to Plaintiffs. After five seasons, 1400 golf balls and an army of aggressive golfers, not a single person has offered to pay for the damage to the property or applicated for the intrusion into Plaintiffs' quiet enjoyment.
- 80. Defendants have engaged in a course of conduct that has increased the injuries to the Plaintiffs, including the promotion of Outlook through the mass media, in television, radio and print advertising.
 - 81. Plaintiffs further daim that Defendents' action was a legal cause of Plaintiffs' injuries.

COUNT V - Negligence

Page 6 of 12 erwin, Ott, stark obso & campell, attornersation 164 Woodbridgeroad - P.O. Box 565 - York, Maine 05991 Case 2:05-cv-00037-GC Document 1-1 Filed 02/25/05 Page 10 of 13 PageID #: 14

02/10/05 10:30:39

CWS_B11_CHZ->

603 647 1800 RightFax

Page 000

02/01	/2005 14:24	From: ELM Insurance Brokers, ELM Insurance Brokers To: 1-866-255- Pag	e 13/23
-	91 05 05:19		12
	01/28/2005 14:: [17 5082786757 CSMGOLF INC PAGE 11	î
•	100 - Carlotte Carlot		
	particular de la companya de la comp		
	82.	The Plaintiffs reassert and incorporate ¶¶ 1 through 81 in Count V of this Complaint.	
	E3 .	The Defendant Outlook owes a duty to Plaintiffs and others, to conduct its business in	
	ways that do	not cause injury to others.	
	84.	Defendant Outlook conducts its business in a way that causes injury to Plaintiffs.	
	85.	Defendant South Berwick has negligently allowed the continuing trespass.	,
	86.	Plaintiffs are foreseeable.	
	Trivialistic of France	COUNT VI - Negligent Design	
	67.	The Plaintiffs reassent and incorporate ¶¶ 1 through 88 in Count VI of this Complaint.	
	88.	Defendant Brian M. Silva, ASGCA with the firm of Comish, Silva and Mungeam, Inc.,	
	207 North 1	ain Street, Uxbridge, Massachusetts 01589, was negligent in dexigning the course at	Postsockerden
	- Outlook		and the second
	89.	Defendant Brian Silva failed to consider the close proximity of Plaintiffs' property when	
	the course w	as designed.	
	90.	Defendant Brian Silva owed a duty to Plaintiffs to consider the properties located near	et realization
.	the golf coun	se at the time the golf course was designed.	
	91.	Defendant Srian Sava owed a duty to Flahnilla to consider that a majority of policie and	
-	ciphhada	distributes a balliss hij sit dicas do dus rigins more effect for the best stocks to the defi-	
	92,	Defendant Brian Silva was regligant in designing the 5th hole so that the homes on the	
	aqacen pro	Mari Boy Instruction States and Section States and Carlow Section	. ,
i de la constante de la consta	93,	Defendant Brian Silva was negligent in designing the 5th hole so that traffic on	
Contract of the Contract of th	Agamenticus	Road would be struck with enant golf balls.	
**************************************	94.	Plaintiffs are foreseeable as they owned and occupied the property at the time the golf	
	course was d	æigned.	
	-	COUNT VI - Violation of Civil Rights (Federal)	
	. 95.	The Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates ¶¶ 1 through 94 in Count VI of this Complaint.	
And the second section of the second section s	96.	The Fifth Amendment to the United State Constitution provides, in pertinent part, that	
lander of the control			
. [[Fege 9 of 12 Ervin, otl clark ord 4 camplell attorner at law	

02/10/05 10:31:10

CWS_B11_CHZ->

603 647 1800 RightFax

Page 889

02/01/2005 14:25 From: ELM Insurance Brokers, ELM Insurance Brokers To: 1-866-255- Page 14/23 Jan 31 05 05:19p DIVERSIFIED RISK 510 547 5648 p.13

01/20/2005 14:17

5082786757

CSMOOLF INC

PAGE 12

"No persons shall [...] be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law.[...].

97. The intrusion of got balls and persons onto Plaintins' property reprives Plaintins of the

use and enjoyment of their property without due process of law,

Defendant, Town of South Berwick, gave municipal approval of the golf course subject to the condition that Applicant Defendant Outlook compiles with all relevant local, State, and Federal statutes.

99. Defendant, Town of South Barwick, has falled to require Defendant Outlook to comply with all relevant [...] Federal statutes, namely that no person shall be deprived of life, liberty or property without due process of law.

COUNT VII - Violation of Civil Right's (State)

100. The Plaintiffs reassert and incorporate 11 through 99 in Count VII of this Complaint.

101. Article I § 1 of the Constitution of the State of Maine provides, in pertinent part, that "All [...] have cartain inalianable rights [...] among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, acquiring, possessing and protecting property[...]."

Defendant, Town of South Berwick, has violated Plaintiffe' inalienable right to acquiring, possessing and protecting their property by failing to require Defendant Outlook to comply with all relevant [...] State [...] statutes.

COUNT VIII - Violation of Civil Rights (Municipality of South Berwick)

103. The Plaintiffs reassert and incorporate 11 1 through 102 in Count VIII of this Complaint.

104. The municipal officers of the Town of South Serwick are sworn to uphold the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of Maine.

By refusing to require Defendant Outlook to abate the continuing trespess of golf balls and golfars. Defendant South Berwick has violated the rights of Plaintiffs as guaranteed under the United States and Maine Constitutions.

WHEREFORE, Pleintiffs pray judgment against Defendants as follows:

For a preliminary and a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants from allowing golfers.

Page 10 of 12

02/10/05 10:31:52

CWS 011 CHZ->

603 647 1800 RightFax

Page 010

02/01/2005 14:26 From: ELM Insurance Brokers, ELM Insurance Brokers To: 1-866-255- Page 15/23
Jan 31 05 05:20p DIVERSIFIED RISK 510 547 5648 p.14

81/28/2005 14:17

5082786757

CSMGOLF INC

PAGE 13

to hit balls from the tee as it is currently situated.

- b) For general damages, the value of the home and property and the cost associated with relocating to another, \$350,000.00
- For in the sum of \$ 200.00 which is necessary to repair broken windows in the residence; \$ 2,400.00 to repair damaged vehicles, including dents and painting; \$9,800.00 to remove each gelf ball from the property (\$7.00 per ball times 1,400 balls).
- d) As a further proximate result of the nulsance, the value of Plaintiffs' real estate has been so diminished that it is now unmarketable at its appraised value. Unless the nulsance is abated, Plaintiffs' property will be progressively further diminished in value.
- As a further proximate result of the nuisance created by Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiffs has been hurt and injured in their health, strength, and activity, sustaining injury to their nervous systems and persons, all of which injuries have caused, and continue to cause. Plaintiffs great mental, physical and emotional pain and suffering. As a result of such injuries, Plaintiffs have suffered general damages in the amount of \$500,000.00
- f) For punitive damages of \$500,000.00 because Defendants actions have been with full knowledge of the consequences and damages being caused to Plaintiffs. Their conduct is willful, oppressive and malicious, accordingly. Plaintiffs are entitled to punitive damages.
 - g) For costs of suit herein incurred, including reasonable attorneys' fees.
- h) For such other future damages and injuries and further relief as the court may deem proper.

02/10/05 10:32:23

CWS_011_CHZ-> 603 647 1000 RightFax

02/01/2005 14:27 From: ELM Insurance Brokers, ELM Insurance Brokers To: 1-866-255- Page 16/23 DIVERSIFIED RISK 510 547 5648 Jan 31 05 05:20p

01/28/2005 14:17

5082786757

CSMBOLF INC

PAGE 14

Dated at York, Malne this 18th day of January, 2005.

ERWIN, OTT, CLARK, ORSO & CAMPBELL

Wently McLittan Starkey Bar 4 9559 16A Wosebridge Road

P.O. Box 545 York, ME 03909

Telephone: (207) 363-5208